

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,899	12/22/2005	Brian Dillon-Ferris	DillonFerris-WO04114171US 3084		
40418 HEIMLICH L	7590 04/07/201 A.W	EXAMINER			
5952 DIAL WAY			CHAKRAVARTI, ARUNAVA		
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95129		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

alanheimlich@heimlichlaw.com sroberts@peloquinlaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) DILLON-FERRIS, BRIAN 10/561,899 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		ARUNAVA	CHARRAVARTI	3693		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OF R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the machine proof will payly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the machine statutory period will payly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. APV reply received by the Office bird than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if limitly filed, may reduce any example quant term adulations. See 37 OFR 1.70(b).						
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12222 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is n	for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>29-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>29-45.43-46</u> are subject to restriction a	vn from co				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)						
2) Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite		

Attachment(s)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	d) Interview Summary (PTO-413) — Paper Not(s)Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:
S Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/561,899

Art Unit: 3693

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 29-42, 47-48 drawn to method and system for receiving option information displaying user input and presenting a match classified in class 705 subclass 037
- Claim 43-46 drawn to method comprising auction comprising bids classified in class 705 subclass 037

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as auction for taking bids. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,899

Art Unit: 3693

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not required because at least the following reason(s) apply:

Separate search is necessary.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Art Unit: 3693

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARUNAVA CHAKRAVARTI whose telephone number is (571)270-1646. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 5712726783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rajesh Khattar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693